Previous references.

1911
~~1912~~.
(Courts)

File No. 46-11

Serial Nos. 1-2

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-2

Part B. for May. 1912

SUBJECT.

Alteration of the date of birth of
Mr B. Nagappa Sub-Ordinate Judge

1) From the Registrar Chief Court - No 632/43 dy 31.1.11
for reasons given
Requests that the date of birth be altered in the
manner indicated

2) To the Registrar Chief Court & Comptroller
No 3473-4 dy 23 May 1912
Sanctions the proposed alteration

G. R. S. 58

A Sadasivan
26/5/12

(1

G.R.S. 11]

1911-12

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Courts.)

NOTES.

Cts. File No. 46-11

FILE HEADING.

Alteration of the date of birth of Mr. B. Nagappa, Bar-at-Law. Subjudge, Bangalore

From The Registrar, Chief Court.

No. 632/43. Dated 31-7-1911 Received 3-8-11.

Diary No. J356 Enclos. 3 Spare Copies. Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards for orders a copy of a letter from Mr. B. Nagappa, Subjudge, requesting that the date of his birth may be altered from 12-4-1877 as given in the Civil List to 24-7-1873 which has been since ascertained to be correct

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Submitted for orders with a draft.

HCS (122) SW(11)
7-8-11

7/8/11

7/8/11

Lee 2 The draft may be approved.

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ch File No. 46 of 1911

Serial No. 3

[Some time ago Mr Nagappa's son, a young man about 19 years old, went to England & in that connection it struck me as remarkable that Mr Nagappa should have such a grown up son when he was himself 32 or 33 years old. The present communication makes that circumstance appear more natural & probable]

1911
1873
37

37
20
17

2nd C. & Darwar

CB
8/8/11

SA
11/8/11

4 The original documents are not sent up; and the explanation throwing the blame chiefly on a Purohit who has assumed the responsibility can hardly be considered satisfactory.

5 However as the Chief Court say they are satisfied that this is "an exceptional and undoubted case", and as it apparently does not prejudicially affect any-body else, the Correction may be authorised. Better late than never.

13172

21/8/11

Note—Contn. sheet.

23-8-11

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

SA
28/8/11

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.,

Ab File No. 46 of 19 11

Serial No.

6

The original documents referred to in the Second Councillor's note should be called for demi-official so that we may satisfy ourselves that at least the date now given is correct.

Put up draft

25/9/11

Ab 7

Draft Subs

Ab 122

1-10-11.

8

9/10/11.

D.O. issued to mr. V.A. Rajaratnam Mudr.

N J 1131 of 11.10.11

D.O. from the Same Office N 1633/130 of 16.10.11

Forwards the original documents

9 Subs The Kundalis of Mr Nagappa's ~~brother~~ Sister and deceased brother, have been written in what appears to be a book of accounts connected with ~~the~~

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Cls File No. 46 of 1911-12

9

Serial No.

the public Subscriptions raised for building an Anjanaya Swami temp

~~[illegible]~~

H.C. 122 SW(11)
20-10-11.

Subdt to Secy.

2-4/10/11.

Secy.

2nd C Dewan

Cls
23/10/11

11/11

(1) The two documents contained in the book now sent up do not help me to come to any conclusion on the question before Government.

1437/11
15.

(2) May have the Chandiers Comparatmes

14/11/11

13/11/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

5

Submitted to His Highness.

12 The Registrar of the Chief Court has sent up for the orders of Government a letter dated 26th July 1911 from Mr. B. Nagappa, Sub Judge, Bangalore, requesting that the date of his birth may be altered from the 12th April 1877 to 24th July 1873 in his Service Register.

13 2. It will be seen from the enclosed correspondence that the date of birth now given in the Civil List and other Government records is 12th April 1877. It is represented by Mr. Nagappa that when he effected an insurance with the Oriental Life Office, Bombay, in 1899 (i.e. 10½ years before he entered Mysore Service on 10th November 1909), he asked his Purohit (family priest) for particulars concerning his date of birth and that the Purohit gave it as 12th of April 1877. Mr. Nagappa acted upon this and gave his age accordingly. Subsequently in May 1911, when the same Purohit was removing his things and old papers from his house to another house, he accidentally came across Mr. Nagappa's horoscope in his dufter, which he has produced and according to which the correct date of Mr. Nagappa's birth falls on the 24th July 1873. The case is explained at some length in the Purohit's affidavit

T 6

T which is on the file in the original Kanarese with an English translation attached. Mr. Nagappa has now asked that this date may be adopted and has also requested the Honorable Society of Gray's Inn, London, and the Oriental Government Security Life Assurance Company, Bombay, to make the necessary alterations.

Paper under discharge - para 2,

19 3. Under para 2 of Notification No.Fl.6701 dated 24th April 1906, alterations of date of birth are not permitted in the case of persons appointed to the public service after that date. But the Chief Court recommend the alteration as an exceptional and undoubted case. They point out that as the alteration makes him older by 3 years and 9 months (approximately) the alteration sought is not to the advantage of the officer.

3

15 4. The originals of the two horoscopes have been obtained and placed on the file. The first horoscope on which the date originally given (12th April 1877) was based is flagged A, while the horoscope lately discovered and produced according to which the date of birth falls on the 24th July 1873, is flagged B. The former is in a book of accounts which contains also the horoscope of a female stated to be Mr. Nagappa's sister. The latter horoscope is separate and by itself. As is (I believe) usual in such cases the name is not mentioned in these horoscopes

A

B

C

87

probably because they are written up before the naming day. In the absence of names the horoscopes of course, as observed by the First Councillor, "do not help us to come to any definite conclusion". But in the circumstances of the case and in view of the Purohit's sworn affidavit made before the Magistrate of the Civil and Military Station, and in view of the opinion of the Chief Court already stated, it seems to me that the date of birth may be altered as desired. The fact also is that among the special considerations which induced Government to take Mr.B.Nagappa into the Judicial Service, his age was not one; whether it was 32 according to the first statement, or 37 according to the second statement, would not have mattered. And, as the existing entry (12th April 1877) was made on his own return, so on his own statement (the correctness of which there is no reason to doubt), it may now be entered as 24th July 1873.

5. I am sorry for the delay in the submission of this case for His Highness' orders. The materials for an opinion had indeed been collected and opinion formed long ago. And it was a case for disposal by myself. But I have thought it well, (besides the personal report which I have already made to His Highness), thus to submit the papers for His Highness' perusal and orders, as some capital was made

~~10~~ 9

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

Application of Mr. B. Nagappa, Sub-Judge, Bangalore, praying that the date of his birth may be altered from the 12th April 1877 to 24th July 1873.

8.5.12
228

696

Order.

~~KRAV~~
15/5/12

Lccy.
16/5/12
III
Please put up a brief draft.
CRB 18/5/12
19/5/12
20/5/12 (Conf).
19/5
19/5/12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ob File No. 46 of 1911-12

Serial No.	
	18 Subd with a draft order. SW(11) 20/5/12.
	19 Please put up a brief draft sanctioning the alteration 20/5/12 SH
	20 An alternative draft order is put up — SW(11) 20/5
	21 May be appd 20/5/12 SH
	22 approved. 21/5
	23 Issue 21/5/12 SH
2.	To the Registrar Civil Court & the Comptroller no J. 3473 dd 23 May 1912 with Mss Directs that the date of B. Rajabhai be altered as recommended as it affects only the officer prejudicially.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—20-1-12

No: 632/43. Encs: 3.

(1)

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE

Bangalore, dated 31st July 1911.

DIARY No. 2/8 J356



From,

V.A.Rajaratna Mudaliar Esquire,
Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore.

To,

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer Esquire, B.A.,
Offg: Secretary to the Govt: of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

S i r,

I am directed to forward for the orders of Government copy of a letter dated the 26th Instant from Mr. B.Nagappa, Subordinate Judge of Bangalore, requesting that the date of his birth which is given in the Civil List as 12th April 1877 may be altered to 24th July 1873. The affidavit referred to in the said letter and the translation thereof are also forwarded.

It has been ascertained from Mr. Nagappa that he has already requested the Honourable ~~Secretary~~ Society of Gray's Inn, London, to make the necessary alteration in their scroll of its members and also the Oriental Government Security Life Assurance Company, Bombay, to alter his life policy.

I am to add that though there is a general prohibition against alteration of the date of birth, under Government Notification No. Fl. 6701/S & A 517-05-4 dated 24th April 1906, the present recommendation is made as an exceptional and undoubted case. Besides, the alteration sought is not to the advantage of the officer.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

REGISTRAR.

N.G.

Bangalore, (12

26th July 1911.

From,

Filed in 46-11-1

B.Nagappa Esquire,

Barrister-at-Law,

Subordinate Judge,

B a n g a l o r e

To,

V.A.Rajaratna Mudaliar Esquire,

Registrar, Chief Court.

S i r,

I have the honor to bring to your notice a regrettable mistake committed in respect of my age.

I have forwarded herewith an affidavit (with its translation in English) from my old and family Astrologer Sambhavadhani, fully setting out the circumstances under which the mistake was committed. From the circumstances it will be seen that I had to depend upon my family Astrologer for the information regarding my age. When I effected an insuarance on my life in April 1899, in Oriental Life Office, Bombay, I asked my Purohit to furnish particulars regarding my horoscope. He told me that the kundali which I now learn is that of my deceased younger brother was mine and that I was born on 12-4-1877. I acted upon his statement and given my age accordingly on all occasions when I was called upon to state my age.

I now learn that I was born on 24th July 1873 and that I was actually misled by my Purohit. I applied to the Comptroller immediately to correct my age. He has informed me to apply to the Government.

I deem

(3)

I deem it my duty to bring to the notice of the Government the ~~mak~~ error that has crept into my Service Register and pray that it may be corrected by entering the date of my birth as 24th July 1873 instead of 12th April 1877.

I have etc:,

(Sd) B.Nagappa.

"~~True~~ Copy".

REGISTRAR.

(4

Cls File No. 46-1

Serial No. 1

350 G.R.S. 26]
9/10

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. J 1131. Encl 2

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Courts)
, the 19

To

Date of issue 11-10-11.

Signed by Mr. T. Lakshminarasimhaiah

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letters No 32 & 31st July 43 1911, regarding the date of birth of Mr B. Nagappa Sub Judge, Bangalore, I am desired to request that the original document referred to in the accompanying affidavit may be forwarded to this office for reference.

Yours Sincerely,

over.

(x to be kindly returned with the reply)

SUBJECT.

V. A. Rajaratna Mudr Esq.
Regr - Chief Court

Recd & cptd 9/10/11 Encl

(5

DIARY No. J.1010

no: 1633/130. Encs two & a packet.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

EDUCATION
SECTION Cts
FILE NO. 46-11
ARIA

Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore Dated 16th October 1911.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter No. J.1131/Cts.46-11 dated the 11th Instant I am desired to send the accompanying original documents therein called for.

1. Book in which the Kundali of Mr. Nagappa's brother is written.
2. Kundali of Mr. Nagappa given to him by Sambhavadhani in May 1911.

The Affidavit received with your letter is returned.

Yours Sincerely,

Registrar.

To,

T. Lakshminarasimha Rao, Esquire,
Assistant Secretary to Government.

Recd 11 May

D. O. No 7r 375

Insurance Office,

Bangalore, 9th May 1912.

My dear sir,

In reply to your D.O. letter dated the 8th instant enquiring whether, according to the experience of horoscopes presented in the Insurance Office, the names of the persons to whom the horoscopes relate are entered in the original horoscopes, I beg to inform you that in most cases the names of the persons to whom the horoscopes relate are not entered but only the names of their parents. In a few cases however, the name of the individual or his order of birth amongst the children of the father is given.

To convey some idea of the number of cases in which the name or order of birth of the individual is given in his horoscope, I have just got examined 15 horoscopes of Brahmins, and other castes selected at random. The result is given below.

Only father's (or both parents') name ~~is~~ given	8 cases
Father's (or both Parents') name and order of birth of child	4 cases
Father's (or both Parents') name with name of child.	3 cases

6

The absence of the entry of the name of the child in most cases is I think explained by the fact that Jatha-Karma is performed soon after the child is born and the horoscope is written at this time. The name-giving ceremony takes place about the 11th day after birth.

Yours sincerely,

J S Chakravarti

To

T. Ananda Rao Esq., B.A., C.I.E.,

Dewan of Mysore, Camp Ooty.

G.R.S. 28.]

File No. 46-11

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to J 3564 J 1010

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
(Courts)

the 19

Date of issue 23-5-12

Signed by Mr. S Hariyannaya

READ: Letter No. 632/43 dated the 31st July 1911 from the Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore, forwarding for orders copy of letter from Mr B. Nagappa, Sub Judge, Bangalore requesting that the date of his birth which is given in the Civil List as 13th April 1877 may for reasons stated be altered to 24th July 1873

ORDER THEREON. No. Order thereon No J 3473-4

Para 2 of Govt Proceedings

over No

SUBJECT.

GPB—10,000—19-4-10

See M

No. H 6701/St A 517-05-4 dated the 24th April 1906 prohibits alteration in the date of birth of persons appointed to the public service after the date of the said order, but the Chief Court recommend the alteration as an exceptional and undoubted case & as the ~~sought~~ alteration sought is not to the advantage of the officer concerned. Govt accept the Chief Court's recommendation and direct that ~~the~~ 24th July 1873 be taken as the correct date of birth of Mrs Nagappa and that necessary alteration be made in the Civil List

Secretary
21/5

SR (II)
20/5

To
The Registrar, Chief Court, returning the original papers

& Comptroller, Mysore for ref.